

①

## SNDT WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, MUMBAI – 20. ELECTIONS 2017

**APPEALS MADE AGAINST THE VALIDITY OF NOMINATION PAPERS FOR ELECTION OF TEN PRINCIPALS TO THE  
SENATE (u/s 28(2)(o) of the M.P.U. Act 2016) AND THE DECISION OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR THEREON**

Sr. No	Name of the Candidate whose nomination has been declared invalid	Category	Reasons for being invalid	Contents of the appeal by the aggrieved	Decision of the Vice-Chancellor u/s 10(7) of Uniform Statute No.1 of 2017
1.	<b>Dr. Jumale Anand Ganpatrao</b>	Open	Documentary evidences indicate that, the candidate has undertaken the University Examinations work for less than five years.	<p><b>Dr. Jumale Anand Ganpatrao appeal dated 28.09.2017</b></p> <p>"I have filed my nomination for Election of Ten Principals to the Senate. My Nomination form is invalid as per University letter no: Univ.Sec/.Elec.Sen./Princi/.Scrutiny/2017-18/185 Dated Sept 27, 2017. I have enclosed the Examination Related Experience certificate along with the nomination form Ref No ZIBACAR/2017-18/1456 Dated: 20/9/2017 (the copy is enclosed for your reference) and the examination experience is from academic year 2008-2009 to 2014-15 total 07 years. The examination experience was sufficient and hence the certificate no 104 Date: 22/9/2017 was not enclosed along with the nomination form. I am also enclosing the certificate ref no 104 Dated 22/09/2017 for your reference. I request you to kindly consider the examination experience and consider my nomination form."</p> <p><b>Dr. Jumale Anand Ganpatrao Principal, SNDT College of Arts and Commerce, Pune.</b></p>	<p>The contentions of the appellant were perused vis a vis the documents submitted by the candidate namely Dr. Jumale Anand Ganpatrao along with his nomination form in the light of the observations of the Scrutiny Committee. It is evident that, the candidate the letters of appointment as paper-setters, examiner, etc. for the M.B.A. Examinations were issued by the Savitribai Phule University of Pune instead of submitting the Certificates of having actually undertaken the University related Examination work as follows:</p> <p>dt.04.12.2015 (for the period 17.12.2015 to 26.12.2015 M.B.A. Exam.) dt. Nil (for the period 17.05.2016, M.B.A. Exam), dt. Nil (for the period 09.05.2016, M.B.A.</p>

**SNDT WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, MUMBAI – 20.  
ELECTIONS 2017**

2

**APPEALS MADE AGAINST THE VALIDITY OF NOMINATION PAPERS FOR ELECTION OF TEN PRINCIPALS TO THE  
SENATE (u/s 28(2)(o) of the M.P.U. Act 2016) AND THE DECISION OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR THEREON**

					<p>Exam (3 copies) dt. Nil (for the period 9.2.2016, M.B.A.- 2013 Exam) dt. 19.02.2016 (for the period 25.04.2016,25-27.02.2016) dt. 14.09.2016 (for the period 22.09.2016-30.09.2016- MBA – 2013 Exam.) dt. Nil (for the period 05.12.2016 – M.B.A. Exam dt. Nil (for 09.12.2016 – M.B.A. Exam), dt. 27.02.2017 (for the period 01.03.2017 to 09.03.2017), dt. Nil (for the period 10.05.2017 M.B.A. Exam.) and dt. Nil (for the period 06.05 2017). From the dates of University Examination related work as mentioned on the above letters of appointment as examiner, paper-setter etc., it is evident that, all those examinations were held during the academic years 2015-16 and 2016-17, which can be claimed as having undertaken University Examinations Work for only two years in aggregate. Whereas, the other experience</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

**SNDT WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, MUMBAI – 20.  
ELECTIONS 2017**

3

**APPEALS MADE AGAINST THE VALIDITY OF NOMINATION PAPERS FOR ELECTION OF TEN PRINCIPALS TO THE  
SENATE (u/s 28(2)(o) of the M.P.U. Act 2016) AND THE DECISION OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR THEREON**

					<p>certificate dt.20.09.2017 was issued by his earlier employer namely, the Dnyanganga Institute of Career Empowerment &amp; Research, Pune which does not mention the names of the University Examinations nor any certificates to the effect of having actually undertaken the University Examination related work as issued by the concerned University have been submitted by the candidate alongwith his nomination form . The same therefore cannot be considered or relied upon.</p> <p>It is therefore evident that, the candidate did not produce sufficient documentary proofs in support of his claim to have undertaken the University Examination related work for at least five years in aggregate. <u>The appeal is therefore rejected and the decision of the Scrutiny Committee to declare the nomination of Dr.</u></p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

**SNDT WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, MUMBAI – 20.  
ELECTIONS 2017**

4

**APPEALS MADE AGAINST THE VALIDITY OF NOMINATION PAPERS FOR ELECTION OF TEN PRINCIPALS TO THE  
SENATE (u/s 28(2)(o) of the M.P.U. Act 2016) AND THE DECISION OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR THEREON**

					<p>Jumale Anand Ganpatrao as INVALID is upheld.</p> <p>Date: 29.09.2017</p> <p><i>Shashikala Wanjari</i> 29/9/17</p> <p>(Dr. Shashikala Wanjari) Vice-Chancellor</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--